r-process in neutron star mergers

Shinya Wanajo (RIKEN iTHES)

Y. Sekiguchi (YITP), N. Nishimura (Keele Univ.),
K. Kiuchi, K. Kyutoku, M. Shibata (YITP)

Supernovae and gamma-ray bursts
Augst 25-27, 2014, RIKEN Okochi Hall

contents

1. overview
2. mergers with neutrinos
3. r-process novae (kilonovae)

1. overview

origin of gold (r-process elements) is still unknown…

popular r-process scenarios



core-collapse supernovae
(since Burbidge+1957;
Cameron 1957)

- ❖ n-rich ejecta nearby proto-NS
- ❖ not promising according to recent studies



neutron-star mergers
(since Lattimer+1974;
Symbalisty+1982)

- ❖ n-rich ejecta from coalescing NS-NS or BH-NS
- ❖ few nucleosynthesis studies

SN nucleosynthesis in the depth



- a number of self-consistent 2D SN models with ν-transport are now available
- very first result of SN nucleosynthesis with such models
- can we confirm production of light trans-iron nuclei (and beyond) ?


500
400
300
200
100
0
y/km
−400
−200
0
200
400
x/km
Y_e
0.00
0.10
0.20
0.30
0.40
0.50
0.60

elemental abundances for each SN



Wanajo, Müller, and Janka 2014, in prep.

nucelosynthesis in the innermost ejecta (M_{ej} ~ 0.01 $M_{\odot}$)

- light SNe have NSE-like features (intermediate light trans-iron more produced)
- massive SNe have QSE-like features (Zn and Zr more produced)

SN nucleosynthesis in the depth



- almost all light trans-iron elements (Zn to Zr) can be explained by the innermost SNe ejecta, but no r-process
- most of light trans-iron isotopes, including ^{48}Ca, ^{64}Zn, ^{92}Mo, and the radionuclide ^{60}Fe can be reasonably explained as well
- ECSNe have particularly important roles

SN neutrino wind: not so neutron-rich

- Y_e is determined by

$$\nu_e + n \rightarrow p + e^-$$
$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$$

- equilibrium value is

$$Y_e \sim \left[1 + \frac{L_{\bar{\nu}e}}{L_{\nu e}} \frac{\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}e} - 2\Delta}{\varepsilon_{\nu e} + 2\Delta}\right]^{-1},$$
$$\Delta = M_n - M_p \approx 1.29 \text{ MeV}$$

- for $Y_e < 0.5$ (i.e., n-rich)

$$\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}e} - \varepsilon_{\nu e} > 4\Delta \sim 5 \text{ MeV}$$
$$\text{if } L_{\bar{\nu}e} \approx L_{\nu e}$$



Roberts+2012

is the answer blowing in the wind?



Wanajo 2013

- only very massive proto-NSs (> 2.2 $M_\odot$) make the heavy r-elements
- typical proto-NSs (< 2.0 $M_\odot$) make weak r-elements (A ~ 90 – 130) only

“history” of Y_e evolution: who is right?



supernovae can be the origin only if …

the explosion is not due to neutrino heating (but, e.g., magneto-rotational jet; Winteler+2012) or our knowledge of neutrino physics is insufficient.

CAUTION!!! EXPLOSION MECHANISM IS STILL UNCLEAR…

r-process in the early Galaxy



Sneden+2008

all r-rich Galactic halo stars show remarkable agreement with the solar r-pattern

- r-process should have operated in the early Galaxy; SNe 😃, mergers 😢 ?
- astrophysical models should reproduce the "universal" solar-like r-process pattern (for $Z \geq 40$; $A \geq 90$)

what is “true” r-process ?

Siqueira Mello+…+ Wanajo 2014



VLT observations give tight constraint for light-to-heavy r-abundances (here [Sr, Y, Zr/Ba])

- [light-r/heavy-r] ≥ -0.3; no stars below this constraint
- “the true r-process” must make lighter r-elements with half the solar r-process ratio

NS merger scenario: most promising?



www.mpa-garching.mpg.de

- coalescence of binary NSs expected ~ 10 – 100 per Myr in the Galaxy (also possible sources of short GRB)
- first ~ 0.1 seconds
  dynamical ejection of n-rich matter with M_{ej} ~ 10^{-3} – 10^{-2} $M_{\odot}$
- next ~ 1 second
  neutrino or viscously driven wind from the BH accretion torus with M_{ej} ~ 10^{-3} – 10^{-2} $M_{\odot}$

previous works: too neutron-rich ?

Goriely+2011 (also similar results by Korobkin+2011; Rosswog+2013)



tidal (or weakly shocked) ejection of “pure” n-matter with $Y_e < 0.1$



- strong r-process leading to fission cycling
- severe problem: only $A > 130$; another source is needed for the lighter counterpart



2. mergers with GR and ν

first simulation with full-GR and ν

- Approximate solution by Thorne's Moment scheme with a closure relation
- Leakage + Neutrino heating (absorption on proton/neutron) included

simulation by Y. Sekiguchi



neutrino properties (Steiner's EOS)



- mass ejection before (40%) and after (60%) HMNS formation; 70% ejecta reside near orbital
- neutrino luminosities similar between ν_e and anti-ν_e
- neutrino mean energies similar between ν_e and anti-ν_e

nucleosynthesis in the NS ejecta





- ❖ higher and wider range of Y_e (= 0.09-0.45) in contrast to previous cases Y_e (= 0.01-0.05)

- ❖ higher and weder range of entropy per baryon (= 0-50) in contrast to previous cases (= 0-3)

$Y_e = 0.1$



r-process
Z = 28
Z = 50
Z = 82
N = 28
N = 50
N = 82
N = 126
N = 184
A = 80
A = 130
A = 195
t = 0.000 s
T = 0.00E+00 K
ρ = 0.00E+00 g cm^-3
N = 0.00E+00 cm^-3
dq/dt = 0.00E+00 erg g^-1 s^-1
Y_e = 0.00E+00
X_n = 0.00E+00
X_α = 0.00E+00
X_h = 0.00E+00
Y_n/Y_h = 0.00E+00
log Y
Z →
N →
A →

$Y_e = 0.2$



r-process
log Y
A →
Z = 82
Z = 50
Z = 28
N = 28
N = 50
N = 82
N = 126
N = 184
A = 80
A = 130
A = 195
t = 0.000 s
T = 0.00E+00 K
ρ = 0.00E+00 g cm⁻³
N = 0.00E+00 cm⁻³
dq/dt = 0.00E+00 erg g⁻¹ s⁻¹
Y_e = 0.00E+00
X_n = 0.00E+00
X_α = 0.00E+00
X_h = 0.00E+00
Y_n/Y_h = 0.00E+00
log Y
Z →
N →

mass-integrated abundances





- previous case: not in agreement with solar r-pattern (e.g., for A < 130)
  → also the case for NS-NSs with stiff EOSs and BH-NSs
- this work: good agreement with solar r-pattern for A = 90-240
  → no need of additional (e.g., BH-torus) sources for light r-elements

3. r-process novae (or goldnovae)

r-process novae (kilonovae)



electro-magnetic transients (macronova, Kulkarni 2005; kilonova, Metzger+2010)

- heating from decays of radionuclides
  well scaled as $dq/dt \sim t^{-1.3}$

heating rate for the NS-NS ejecta



- heating rate for the mass-averaged abundances well fitted by the scaling law $dq/dt \sim t^{-1.3}$ (as well as by the solar r-pattern case)
- but dependent on Y_e; there might be directional (polar to equatorial) differences

EM counterparts of GW signals



GW signal can be spatially resolved only ~ 100 deg^2 by KAGRA/a.LIGO/ a.Virgo (from 2017)
→ EM counterparts are needed

- SGRBs
  events should be restricted due to narrow beaming
- r-process novae
  detectable (by, e.g., Subaru/HSC) from all directions!

already found?

LETTER

doi:10.1038/nature12505

A 'kilonova' associated with the short-duration γ-ray burst GRB 130603B

N. R. Tanvir[1], A. J. Levan[2], A. S. Fruchter[3], J. Hjorth[4], R. A. Hounsell[3], K

Short-duration γ-ray bursts are intense flashes of cosmic γ-rays, lasting less than about two seconds, whose origin is unclear[1,2]. The favoured hypothesis is that they are produced by a relativistic jet created by the merger of two compact stellar objects (specifically two neutron stars or a neutron star and a black hole). This is supported by indirect evidence such as the properties of their host galaxies[3], but unambiguous confirmation of the model is still lacking. Mergers of this kind are also expected to create significant quantities of neutron-rich radioactive species[4,5], whose decay should result in a faint transient, known as a 'kilonova', in the days following the burst[6–8]. Indeed, it is speculated that this mechanism may be the predominant source of stable r-process elements in the Universe[5,9].

compac … netic sig-
nal acco … itational-
wave in … arts is an
essentia … ts and to
constra … ever, the
evidenc … circum-
stantial … axies, or
regions … n, which
makes a … duration
γ-ray b … ort-lived
massive … NASA's
Swift sa … typically



Tanvir+2013, Nature, Aug. 29

r-process nova in the SGRB afterglow?

Hotokezaka+Tanaka…+Wanajo 2013;
NS+NS models



- late-time excess NIR flux requires an additional component (most likely an r-process nova)
- the excess NIR indicates the NS-NS ejecta with M_{ej} ~ 0.02 $M_\odot$
- additional late-time red transients in SGRBs should be observed

what is a smoking gun of the r-process?

田中，天文月報2014年1月



can we see r-abundances in the spectra?

- almost featureless because of too many bound-bound lines and Doppler shifts (v/c ~ 0.1-0.3)

- identification of red, featureless spectral shape can be an unambiguous evidence of an r-process

summary and outlook

- NS mergers: very promising site of r-process
  - neutrinos play a crucial role (in particular for a soft EOS)
- still many things yet to be answered…
  - dependence on mass ratios of NSs and EOSs; how about BH-NS?
  - how the subsequent BH-tori contribute to the r-abundances?
  - can mergers be the origin of r-process elements in the Galaxy?