

"Supernovae and Gamma-Ray Bursts 2014" in RIKEN during 25-27 Aug.

KAGRA : Construction Status in Summer 2014 & its Science on SNe and GRBs

N.Kanda (Osaka City U.) on behalf of KAGRA collaboration.



Plan of Talk about KAGRA

Brief overview of the project

- Detector
- Science target of Gravitational Wave (GW) detection

Construction status in 2014 Summer

- Tunnel excavation is finished !
- Preparing iKAGRA (1st total operation in normal temperature at December 2015)

Science in GW detection/measurement from SNe or GRBs

- What can be derive ?
- Chance of coincidence observation

What is Gravitational Wave? Gravity distorts the space-time ! Einstein Eq. $\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{metric tensor} & ct & x & y & z & ct \\ \text{``flat'' space-time (Minkowski)} & g_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{matrix}$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} =$$

"curved (distorted)" space-time

$$g_{\mu\nu} \neq \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

small perturbation 'h' --> Waves

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$



What is Gravitational Wave? Gravity distorts the space-time ! Einstein Eq. $\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{metric tensor} & ct & x & y & z & ct \\ \text{``flat'' space-time (Minkowski)} & g_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{matrix}$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} =$$

"curved (distorted)" space-time

$$g_{\mu\nu} \neq \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

small perturbation 'h' --> Waves

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$





GW radiation

Source

change (time derivative) of quadrupole moment of mass distribution (\vec{r})

$$I_{\mu\nu} = \int dV (x_{\mu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\mu\nu}r^2)\rho(x_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\mu\nu}r^2)\rho(x_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\mu\nu}r^2)\rho(x_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\mu\nu}r^2)\rho(x_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\mu\nu}r^2)\rho(x_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}x_{\nu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\mu\nu}r^2)\rho(x_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3$$

Amplitude

inversely proportional to the distance between source $h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2\tilde{G}}{Rc^4}\ddot{I}_{\mu\nu}$ and observer

Energy

total energy is given as :

$$E_{GW} \sim \frac{G}{5c^5} < \ddot{I}_{\mu\nu} \ddot{I}^{\mu\nu} >$$



Science of GW

Need direct measurement !!!

Why direct measurement?

- We have to test GR in '**strong**' gravity field !
- Past experimental GR tests had been done in weak gravity field (in Solar system)
- Direct measurement of wave property is important as the test of a fundamental interaction .

GW waveform carry information of its sources !

- New probe for astrophysics and cosmology
- Tagging GW events = seeing sources
 Gravitational Wave Astronomy



Possible GW sources

Event Like

It will occur suddenly. Sometime it can be luminous!

- Compact Binary Coalescence (Neutron Star-NS, NS-Blackhole, BH-BH)
- Supernova

(Stellar-core collapse)

- BH QNM
- Pulsar glitch

Continuous

It exists anywhere, anytime in our universe ...

- Rotating Pulsar
- Binary
- Stochastic Background

(+Unknown sources)







typical target : $h \lesssim 10^{-22} - 10^{-24}$





KAGRA Collaboration in the world

- Research organizations of laboratories and faculties of universities are 41 in Japan and 37 in overseas
- 158 researchers in Japan and 69 in abroad, 227 members in total





KAGRA overview

Underground

in Kamioka, Japan
 Silent & Stable
 environment

Cryogenic Mirror

- 20K
 - sapphire substrate
- 3km baseline



© ICRR, university of Tokyo

Plan

- 2010 : construction started
- 2015 Dec. : first run in normal temperature
- 2018 (or late 2017)- : with cryogenic mirror





KAGRA overview

Underground

in Kamioka, Japan
 Silent & Stable
 environment

Cryogenic Mirror

- 20K
 - sapphire substrate
- 3km baseline



© ICRR, university of Tokyo

Plan

- 2010 : construction started
- 2015 Dec. : first run in normal temperature
- 2018 (or late 2017)- : with cryogenic mirror



KAGRA : Construction Status in Summ

KAGRA over

Underground

in Kamioka, Japan
 Silent & Stable
 environment

Cryogenic Mirror

- 20K
 - sapphire substrate

3km baseline



© ICRR, university of Tokyo

Plan

- 2010 : construction started
- 2015 Dec. : first run in normal temperature
- 2018 (or late 2017)- : with cryogenic mirror



8

KAGRA : Construction Status in Summ

KAGRA over

Underground

in Kamioka, Japan
 Silent & Stable
 environment

Cryogenic Mirror

- 20K
 - sapphire substrate

3km baseline



Plan

- 2010 : construction started
- 2015 Dec. : first run in normal temperature
- 2018 (or late 2017)- : with cryogenic mirror





Design Sensitivity of KAGRA h ~ factor x 10⁻²⁴ [/ \sqrt{Hz}] for observation band





Design Sensitivity of KAGRA h ~ factor x 10⁻²⁴ [/ \sqrt{Hz}] for observation band





Detection Range

KAGRA's **NS-NS**

detection range is **280 Mpc** for optimal direction and orbit inclination. (~158Mpc in all sky average, LIGO definition) -> 10 event/yr

For supernovae, ⁸ the range may be typically 100kpc ~1Mpc or as like, depending on the model (waveform).





KAGRA Construction status

Tunnel excavation completed !

Floors are now ready. We will construct cubicles, clean rooms etc.

Cryostat installation will be start in this August 2014.

Electronics and digital control systems now stat their calibration and installation.

Data analysis software are developing rapidly.





Most exciting news in the last one year



viewgraph by Y.Itoh

Tunnel excavation was finished on March 2014!!! (Scheduled: 2014 Mar. 31 / Actually finished: 2014 Mar.31 !!!)





Tunnel



Introduction

- Site : Kamioka, Hida, Gifu, Japan.
 - 300km north west of Tokyo.
- Total length : 7,694m (Arm tunnels 6,000m, Experiment rooms 817m, Access tunnels 880m).
- Total volume : 146,000m^{3.}
- Method : NATM(New Austrian Tunneling Method).
- Company : Kajima corporation.
- Period of the construction : 2012/5-2014/3.



by T.Uchiyama

13



by T.Uchiyama





about one year ago ...







Vibration isolation and cryostat















MC chambers and cryostats installation



Installation of MC chambers (center area)

Transportation of cryostat in Y arm



viewgraph by T.Uchiyama



iKAGRA observation, December 2015





iKAGRA observation, December 2015





Installation launch at Kamioka now

- Digital control and analogue electronics start work shift partially in this July, on circuit tests, cabling, etc.
- Cryostat installation started in this August.
- Work shift for many apparatus in the tunnel are now organizing , and will be carried by collaborators soon.



New building ("Analysis build.")





New building ("Analysis build.")





Hardware of iKAGRA data system

@Kamioka surface bilding

- 200TiB lustre
 storage system
 (FEFS), separate
 MDT and OSS
- 1 data server
- 4 calculation
 nodes (8cores x
 2CPUs) = 64cores
- 2 job management servers

VPN switch



placed at computer area beside the control room, 1st floor of analysis build.



200 TiB 'lustre' file system



(pre-)main storage

@Kashiwa (ICRR building 6th floor)

- 100 TiB lustre storage system (FEFS), single storage for MDT+OSS
- 2 login server
- VPN switch





Data Acquisition, transfer and storage





Data transfer test

- Tunnel -(via dedicated optical fiber, 10GB) -> Analysis build. on surface
 - using scp^{*}, <u>continuous</u> transfer of dummy files, done by system vender (Fujitsu Co.Ltd.)
 - It marked ~150MB/s.
 - using scp, 320MB frame data, <u>intermittent</u> transfer every16sec by T.Yamamoto
 ~150MB/s during data sending
 - using via **socket** transfer** by Oohara
 - ~ 1GB/s during data sending
- Analysis build. on surface -(SINET)-> Kashiwa
 - using scp, 320MB frame data, intermittent transfer every16sec by T.Yamamoto
 ~50MB/s during data sending

29

- using via socket transfer** by Oohara
 ~50MB/s during data sending
- * scp including file I/O, transfer, encrypt, decrypt*
 ** socket transfer only data, exclude file I/O
- Specification requirement : 40MB/s
- KAGRA raw data : 20MB/s



Data Analysis Subsystem (DAS)

Chief: H.Tagoshi Sub-chiefs: Y.Itoh, H.Takahashi Core members: N.Kanda, K.Oohara, K.Hayama Korean subgroup Leader: Hyung Won

Osaka Univ : H. Tagoshi, K.Ueno, T.Narikawa Osaka City Univ : N Kanda, K Hayama, T Yokozawa	Inje Univ. : Hyung Won Lee Jeongcho Kim				
H.Yuzurihara, T.Yamamoto, K.Tanaka,	Seoul Nat. U.: Chunglee Kim				
M. Asano, M. Toritani, T. Arima, A.					
Miyamoto					
Univ Tokyo : Y.Itoh, K. Eda, J. Yokoyama,					
Nagaoka Tech : H.Takahashi,					
Niigaka Univ : K.Oohara, Y.Hiranuma, M. Kaneyama,					
T. Wakamatsu					
Toyama Univ : S. Hirobayashi, M. Nakano					
Total: 26 (Graduate students are included. Undergrad. are not included)					
About 30 people in the mailing list.					

viewgraph by H.Tagoshi, Y.Itoh

setting upper limits.

•

Discovering local fortuitous GW events.





31

GW astronomy

11



Schedule to the observation era

Calendar year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Project start									
Tunnel excavation									
initial-KAGRA									
				ił	<a dr="" hotelengthetele<="" td=""><td>obs.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td>	obs.			
baseline-KAGRA		Adv	v. Optic	s syste	m and	tests			
					Cry	ogenic	system	า 🔲	
Observation					÷i.				

drawn by T.Kajita



Science in GW detection/measurement from SNe or GRBs

What can be derive ?

- Information inside the objects : dynamics of SN core strong GW suspect the inner structure of the progenitor, NS-NS coalescence is one of the candidate of short-GRB progenitor.
- Population, formation
 if we will get enough statistics.

Chance of coincidence observation

- Convince the detection as true "event"
- Multi-messenger



GW waves : view of event detection ...

Features	Supernovae	Compact Binary Coalescence
GW waveform	"Burst" various prediction, but is NOT well-known or hard to give waveform analytically	"Chirp" Post-Newton + "Merger" Numerical Relativity + "Ringdown" Perturbation of BH (analytical + NR waveforms)
Detection (Signal Identification)	 •Excess power filter (Integration of signal power), •Time-Frequency analysis (Sonogram by Short-FFT, Wavelet etc.) 	Matched filter between signal and templates (Winer optimal filter)
Typical Range for current detectors.	≤1Mpc	~200 Mpc
Follow-ups / Counterparts	EM (visible-infrared , X-ray, Gamma-Ray), Neutrino	EM (visible-infrared, X-ray, Gamma-Ray), Neutrino

Multi-messenger resolve the object example : neutrino - GW coincidence



If we will have SNe in our galaxy or near by ...

- Neutrino observation from SNe is promising.
- In some scenario, GW will emit. In other, no (strong) GW... Comparison of Neutrino, EM and GW might explain the dynamics.



Team SKE

SNe Theory(A05)

Y. Suwa

Provide time correlated data, GW and neutrino
Suggest signature signals physical phenomenon







EGADS

GW analysis(A04) T. Yokozawa, M. Asano

KA

GR

N. Kanda

- KAGRA detector simulations
- Develop/Optimize GW analysis tools
- Prepare for realtime observation

12

Motivation



- Focus on **GW observed time**(t_obs_gw) and Neutronization burst time(t_obs_nburst)
- Supernova detection simulation with KAGRA and EGADS detector



viewgraph by T.Yokozawa

KAGRA Probing Rotation of SN core



with concurrent analysis of GW and Neutrino

- Assumptions :
 - Core-collapse SN

Progenitor is rotating or is not rotating.

- Colocate two detectors : KAGRA + EGADS
- Expectation :

Neutrino burst at core collapse

Strong spike-like GW for rotating core bounce / no GW core-bounce for no rotating

-> Comparison of **GW and Neutrino** make possible to suppose SN core rotation

-> Understanding of the dynamics of SNe

38



Fig. 4.— One example of the time variation of obtained SNR for each model. color shows the progenitor core rotation model, 0.0π (magenta), 0.2π (green), 0.5π (blu 1.0π (red) rad s⁻¹, respectively. The supernova distance is set to 1.0 kpc and on-direct KAGRA detector.



Fig. 13.— One example of the fluctuation of observed number of neutrino for the distance of 0.1 kpc(red) and 0.5kpc(blue). The $1.0 \ \pi rad \ s^{-1}$ model is used for this figure.



viewgraph by M.Tanaka





We know only a small fraction!!



viewgraph by M.Tanaka

How many and where? : (2) WR



We know only a small fraction!



GW and GRB : more chance of mutual follow-ups

NS-NS merger may emit EM radiation.





GW signal (example: NS-NS Coalescence)





Summary

- GW detection is ambitious project to confirm GR and to open the "GW astronomy".
- KAGRA construction is progressing steadily.
- SNe and GRB are very interesting source for GW! not only for GW detection, but also counterpart / mutually follow-up observation.
 - It is expected to open new window!

GWPAW 2015

Gravitational Wave Physics and Astronomy Workshop

GWPAW is an annual open workshop (formerly called GWDAW) on the physics and astronomy of gravitational waves, techniques for their detection, and interpretation of data and results.

The workshop also focuses on **follow-ups/counterparts observations**, and **multi-messenger astronomy** with GW.

Place: Osaka, Japan Date: 17(Wed.)-20(Sat.), June 2015

KAGRA tour may arrange at 16th.

